


Detecting cheaters and plagiarism in online exams- techniques and data



Rainer Scheuchenpflug
Alexander Hörnlein

INTRO

We developed techniques to detect cheating and plagiarism in online exams. And did not find many cheaters.

METHODS

Logfile analysis of text answers (edits per second) identifies copy-pasting text from the internet/other users. Further edits to disguise the plagiarism are made transparent.

Individualized data sets in statistics exams lead to individualized correct solutions. Identical solutions are an indicator of cheating.

RESULTS

Logfile analysis was available for 8 exams in WS20; singular suspicious activities were detected in 6 exams, but ignored by 5 instructors/exams. In SS21 none of 11 potential users requested the analysis. Individualized data and comparison of error patterns identified 4+4+2+3+2+2=17 cheaters in 6 statistics exams with 993 participants.


DISCUSSION

The methods can only detect specific cheating behavior / work only for a subclass of tasks/questions. Even if available, most instructors do not use the methods and prefer to ignore the problem. Cheating rates cannot be compared to traditional exams due to missing data.

But still: There is no evidence of massive cheating in online exams.

Students rarely cheat in online exams and instructors seem to count on that

1.7% Cheaters in online statistics exams



https://go.uniwue.de/mvwji

casetrain@uni-wuerzburg.de

@CaseTrain

Messy section





Table showing edps and answers for 'Logfile: normal typing' with various edit codes and counts.


Table showing edps and answers for 'Logfile: copy-paste' with various edit codes and counts.

Table titled 'Individual data and error patterns' showing statistical data for different exams.



Post facto: What happens to cheaters?

Online-exam: Breakoutroom



lecture-hall-exams



More or less cheating possible?